## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

CINDY HALABURDA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

BAUER PUBLISHING CO., LP, a Delaware Partnership,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:12-cv-12831-GCS-RSW

Hon. George C. Steeh

## FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

WHEREAS, a class action is pending before the Court entitled *Halaburda v*.

Bauer Publishing Co., LP, Case No. 12-cv-12831; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Cindy Halaburda ("Plaintiff"), individually and as Class Representative, and Defendant Bauer Publishing Co., LP ("Defendant") have entered into a Settlement Agreement (dkt. 62-1), which, together with the exhibits attached thereto, sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed settlement and dismissal of the Action with prejudice; and

WHEREAS, on September 26, 2014, the Court granted Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, conditionally certifying a Class pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) of "All Persons in the state of Michigan

that subscribed to any Bauer Publication and subsequently had their Subscriber Information disclosed by Bauer to a third party" (dkts. 62-1; 63); and

WHEREAS, Defendant notified the Michigan Attorney General's Office about the proposed Settlement Agreement pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), 28 USC § 1715, and subsequently the Parties discussed the terms of the Settlement Agreement with the Michigan Attorney General's Office, and pursuant to those discussions, the Parties agreed to make the following modifications to the prospective relief provided in Paragraph 2.1, subject to Court approval: (i) the second sentence of Paragraph 2.1(c) shall be deleted ("Defendant shall use its commercially reasonable best efforts when negotiating, renegotiating, extending, or renewing contracts with third party companies authorized to sell Bauer Publications subscription(s) to require such companies to provide the notice required under this Paragraph to the extent practicable."); and (ii) Paragraph 2.1(e) shall be replaced with the following sentence after the phrase "In lieu of Paragraphs (a)-(d) above": "Bauer agrees not to disclose any Michigan customers' Subscriber Information for the four-year period provided for in the Class Action Settlement Agreement"; and

WHEREAS, the Court has considered the Parties' Class Action Settlement Agreement (dkt. 62-1), as well as Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement Agreement (dkt. 66), Plaintiff's Motion for Approval of Attorneys'

Fees, Expenses, and Incentive Award (dkt. 64), together with all exhibits thereto, the arguments and authorities presented by the Parties and their counsel at the Final Approval Hearing held on January 5, 2015, and the record in the Action, and good cause appearing,

It is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED THAT:

- 1. Terms and phrases in this Final Judgment shall have the same meaning as ascribed to them in the Parties' Class Action Settlement Agreement.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all Parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class members.
- 3. The notice provided to the Settlement Class pursuant to the Settlement Agreement (dkt. 62-1) and order granting Preliminary Approval (dkt. 63)—including (i) direct notice to the Settlement Class via email and U.S. mail, based on the comprehensive Settlement Class List provided by Defendant, and (ii) the creation of the Settlement Website—fully complied with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 and due process, constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise the Settlement Class of the pendency of the Action, their right to object to or to exclude themselves from the Settlement Agreement, and their right to appear at the Final Approval Hearing.
- 4. No Class Member has objected to any of the terms of the Settlement Agreement or submitted a request for exclusion.

- 5. The Court finds that Defendant properly and timely notified the appropriate government officials of the Settlement Agreement, pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1715. The Court has reviewed the substance of Defendant's notice, and finds that it complied with all applicable requirements of CAFA. Further, more than ninety (90) days have elapsed since Defendant provided notice pursuant to CAFA and the Final Approval Hearing.
- 6. This Court now gives final approval to the Settlement Agreement, and finds that the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class. The settlement consideration provided under the Settlement Agreement constitutes fair value given in exchange for the release of the Released Claims against the Released Parties. The Court finds that the consideration to be paid to members of the Settlement Class is reasonable, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members, considering the total value of their claims compared to the disputed factual and legal circumstances of and affirmative defenses asserted in the Action, and the potential risks and likelihood of success of pursuing litigation on the merits. The complex legal and factual posture of this case, the amount of discovery completed, and the fact that the Settlement is the result of arm's-length negotiations between the Parties support this finding. The Court finds that these facts, in addition to the Court's

observations throughout the litigation, demonstrate that there was no collusion present in the reaching of the Settlement Agreement, implicit or otherwise.

- 7. The Court has specifically considered the factors relevant to class action settlement approval, see, e.g., Int'l Union, United Auto., Aerospace, & Agr. Implement Workers of Am., 497 F.3d 615, 631 (6th Cir. 2007), including, inter alia, the likelihood of success on the merits, the public interest, and the reaction of absent class members, and upon consideration of such factors finds that the settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate to all concerned. The Parties are directed to consummate the Settlement Agreement in accordance with its terms.
- 8. The Court finds that the Class Representative and Class Counsel adequately represented the Settlement Class for the purposes of litigating this matter and entering into and implementing the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. Accordingly, the Settlement is hereby finally approved in all respects, except that (i) the second sentence of Paragraph 2.1(c) shall be deleted ("Defendant shall use its commercially reasonable best efforts when negotiating, renegotiating, extending, or renewing contracts with third party companies authorized to sell Bauer Publications subscription(s) to require such companies to provide the notice required under this Paragraph to the extent practicable."); and (ii) Paragraph 2.1(e) shall be replaced with the following sentence after the phrase "In lieu of Paragraphs (a)-(d) above": "Bauer agrees not to disclose any Michigan customers'

Subscriber Information for the four-year period provided for in the Class Action Settlement Agreement."

- 10. The Parties are hereby directed to implement the Settlement Agreement according to its terms and provisions. The Settlement Agreement is hereby incorporated into this Final Judgment in full and shall have the full force of an Order of this Court.
- 11. This Court hereby dismisses the Action, as identified in the Settlement Agreement, on the merits and with prejudice.
- 12. Upon the Effective Date of this Final Judgment, Plaintiffs and each and every Settlement Class Member who did not opt out of the Settlement Class (whether or not such members submit claims) and to the extent the Settlement Class Member all of its respective present or past heirs, executors, estates, administrators, predecessors, successors, assigns, parent companies, subsidiaries, associates, affiliates, employers, employees, agents, consultants, independent contractors, insurers, directors, managing directors, officers, partners, principals, members, attorneys, accountants, financial and other advisors, investment bankers, underwriters, shareholders, lenders, auditors, investment advisors, legal representatives, successors in interest, assigns and companies, firms, trusts, and corporations shall be deemed to have released Defendant, as well as any and all of its respective present or past heirs, executors, estates, administrators, predecessors,

successors, assigns, parent companies, subsidiaries, associates, affiliates, employers, employees, agents, consultants, independent contractors, insurers, directors, managing directors, officers, partners, principals, members, attorneys, accountants, financial and other advisors, investment bankers, underwriters, shareholders, lenders, auditors, investment advisors, legal representatives, successors in interest, assigns and companies, firms, trusts, and corporations from any and all actual, potential, filed, known or unknown, fixed or contingent, claimed or unclaimed, suspected or unsuspected, claims, demands, liabilities, rights, causes of action, contracts or agreements, extracontractual claims, damages, punitive, exemplary or multiplied damages, expenses, costs, attorneys' fees and or obligations (including "Unknown Claims," as defined in the Settlement Agreement), whether in law or in equity, accrued or unaccrued, direct, individual or representative, of every nature and description whatsoever, whether based on the VRPA or other federal, state, local, statutory or common law or any other law, rule or regulation, against the Released Parties, or any of them, arising out of any facts, transactions, events, matters, occurrences, acts, disclosures, statements, misrepresentations, omissions or failures to act regarding the alleged disclosure of the Settlement Class Members' Subscription Information, including all claims that were brought or could have been brought in the Action.

13. Upon the Effective Date of this Final Judgment, the above release of

claims and the Settlement Agreement will be binding on, and will have *res*judicata and preclusive effect on, all pending and future lawsuits or other

proceedings maintained by or on behalf of Plaintiffs and all other Settlement Class

Members and Releasing Parties. All Settlement Class Members are hereby

permanently barred and enjoined from filing, commencing, prosecuting,

intervening in, or participating (as class members or otherwise) in any lawsuit or

other action in any jurisdiction based on or arising out of any of the Released

Claims.

- 14. The Court has also considered Plaintiff's Motion and supporting declarations for attorneys' fees to Class Counsel and adjudges that the payment of \$232,500.00 is reasonable in light of the multi-factor test used to evaluate fee awards in the Sixth Circuit, using either a lodestar or percentage-of-the-fund approach. *See Ramey v. Cincinnati Enquirer, Inc.*, 508 F.2d 1188, 1196 (6th Cir. 1974); *Bowling v. Pfizer, Inc.*, 102 F.3d 777, 780 (6th Cir. 1996).
- 15. The Court has also considered Plaintiff's Motion and supporting declarations for an incentive award to the Class Representative, Cindy Halaburda. The Court adjudges that the payment of an incentive award in the amount of \$5,000.00 to Ms. Halaburda, to compensate her for her efforts and commitment on behalf of the Settlement Class, is fair, reasonable, and justified under the circumstances of this case. Such payment shall be made pursuant to and in the

manner provided by the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

- 16. All payments made to Settlement Class Members pursuant to the Settlement Agreement that are not cashed within ninety (90) days of issuance shall revert to the Michigan Bar Association's Access to Justice Fund, which the Court approves as an appropriate *cy pres* recipient. Except as otherwise set forth in this Order, the Parties shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.
- 17. The Parties, without further approval from the Court, are hereby permitted to agree to and adopt such amendments, modifications and expansions of the Settlement Agreement and its implementing documents (including all exhibits to the Settlement Agreement) so long as they are consistent in all material respects with this Final Judgment and do not limit the rights of Settlement Class Members.
- 18. Without affecting the finality of this Final Judgment for purposes of appeal, until the Effective Date the Court shall retain jurisdiction over all matters relating to administration, consummation, enforcement, and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement.
- 19. This Court hereby directs entry of this Final Judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58 based upon the Court's finding that there is no just reason for delay of enforcement or appeal of this Final Judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 6th day of January, 2015.

s/George Caram Steeh HON. GEORGE CARAM STEEH UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE